

Scoring Criteria for New Project Applications
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) & Rapid Rehousing (RRH) & Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH)

Scoring Criteria for Housing Projects

Applications applying for new PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH funding to will be scored and evaluated on the following components. Please note there are slight variations in the components to be evaluated, based on the type of project being applied for:

Topic of Evaluation	Review will take into consideration:	Max Points Possible		
		Scoring for PSH: Scattered-Site	Scoring for PSH: Project-Based	Scoring for RRH and TH-RRH
Applicant Experience & Capacity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If agency currently provides RRH or PSH (points if “yes”) • If applying for TH-RRH project, points given if “yes” to being a current RRH provider and a current or former provider of TH. • Any outstanding concerns with current CoC grants • Clarity of roles of partners on project (if applicable) <p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None 	5	5	5
Attachments (inclusion and content of)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Required attachments all submitted, and no concerns/issues noted therein • Audit will be reviewed separately, as noted below. <p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None 	5	5	5
Project Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarity of overall description of project • Extent to which project will be able to be in operation w/in 6 months of receiving grant 	10	10	10

Topic of Evaluation	Review will take into consideration:	Max Points Possible		
		Scoring for PSH: Scattered-Site	Scoring for PSH: Project-Based	Scoring for RRH and TH-RRH
	<p>agreement for PSH projects and within 3 months for RRH and TH-RRH projects.</p> <p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timeframe for RRH project start up changed from 6 months to 3 months. 			
Housing First Experience	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description of how they incorporate Housing First • Review an example of lease (required attachment) • Review of eviction prevention policies (required attachment) <p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None 	22	22	22
Participation in CAM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 10 points will be awarded proportionally for the proportion of the points the project earns on the CAM participation portion of its renewal application(s). For example, if an agency earned 80% of the points possible for CAM participation in the renewal application, that agency's new project application would earn 8 out of the 10 points for this section. • Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding or that have never participated in CAM will be unable to earn these points, and therefore points associated with this criterion will be removed 	10	10	10

Topic of Evaluation	Review will take into consideration:	Max Points Possible		
		Scoring for PSH: Scattered-Site	Scoring for PSH: Project-Based	Scoring for RRH and TH-RRH
	<p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change to align with how renewal project applications are scored. 			
Ability to Leverage Medicaid & Mainstream Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extent to which agency has activities in place to enroll persons in Medicaid or other mainstream resources (all) Extent to which agency is able to bill Medicaid for services, or has partnership (MOU provided) with agency that is able to bill Medicaid (PSH only) The greater extent to which agency is able to leverage Medicaid or other mainstream resources, the greater ability to earn points <p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	20	20	10
Site Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Description of the project site and its' appropriateness as PSH Any current use concerns with building (ie, zoning concerns, if bldg. is currently in use for another purpose, etc) Extent to which units are private (more private the unit, more points that may be earned) <p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	N/A	23	N/A
Relationships with Landlords	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> How the agency works with landlords to recruit their participation 	23	N/A	33

Topic of Evaluation	Review will take into consideration:	Max Points Possible		
		Scoring for PSH: Scattered-Site	Scoring for PSH: Project-Based	Scoring for RRH and TH-RRH
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> How agency ensures on-going communication and maintenance of positive relationships with landlords <p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 			
Client Services & Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extent to which past clients have been able to obtain/maintain permanent housing and increase income/employment (based on data provided) Extent to which project assists client with connecting to other mainstream services <p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	15	15	15
Budget, Costs, Match, & Leveraging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Documentation of leveraging and match Budget requests allowable costs Per-unit cost (agencies will be required to submit entire budget for project, not just the CoC funds being requested) For leveraging and match: if agency is requesting multiple projects (new and/or renewal), will need to demonstrate that the identified match/leverage is distinct for each <p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	15	15	15
Review of Entire Applicant CoC Portfolio	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The portfolio of all an applicant and/or subrecipient's current CoC-funded projects that are applying for renewal in FY2017 will be reviewed. If an applicant and/or subrecipient <p><u>Change from 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	-10 if renewal(s) do not pass threshold	-10 if renewal(s) do not pass threshold	-10 if renewal(s) do not pass threshold

Topic of Evaluation	Review will take into consideration:	Max Points Possible		
		Scoring for PSH: Scattered-Site	Scoring for PSH: Project-Based	Scoring for RRH and TH-RRH
	has any renewal projects in FY2017 that do not pass the renewal project threshold, ten (10) points will be deducted from the new project application's overall score. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy will not apply to applicants/subrecipients that are not currently recipients of CoC funding. 			
Total Maximum Points Possible		125	125	125

Scoring Criteria for Coordinated Entry Supportive Services Only (CE-SSOs)

Application for new SSO funding for Coordinated Entry will be scored and evaluated on the following components:

Area of Evaluation	Points Possible
Agency Experience & Capacity	20
Attachments (inclusion & content of)	5
Experience in Specific Area(s) of Request	30
Additional Areas of Agency Experience	10
Housing First Experience	10
Obtaining and Maintaining Permanent Housing	10
Budget, Costs, Match, and Leveraging	15
Review of Entire Applicant CoC Portfolio <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The portfolio of all an applicant and/or subrecipient's current CoC-funded projects that are applying for renewal in FY2017 will be reviewed. If an applicant and/or subrecipient has any renewal projects in FY2017 that do not pass the renewal project threshold, ten (10) points will be deducted from the new project application's overall score This policy will not apply to applicants/subrecipients that are not currently recipients of CoC funding. 	-10 if renewal(s) do not pass threshold
Total Points Possible	100